

February 25, 1976

sanctioned by existing law. Now I would contend that this has been done many times on this floor in the past. I could name a few instances where it has been done within the past three or four years, at least, and, as a matter of fact, it was done last year as well. The only difference here is that Senator Syas was shrewd enough to spot it and go to the Attorney General and solicit an informal opinion and I am not sure that he is right but I think he is. So what I am doing today, since the motion was pending already for this amendment, is bringing to your attention this continuing problem, a problem that is not going to go away, until the Legislature takes some action. We cannot expect to get voluntary cooperation. At least eight years of a very sad experience should indicate to us by now there is only one body that can make a determination on how the state's historic resources are going to be handled and that is this Legislature. We cannot continue to duck the issue. We cannot continue to hold interim hearings. Specifically, we cannot ignore good legislation that was presented to us by the Mattes report very clearly delineating that on historic parks and our historic sites the agency responsible for planning, for design, for reconstruction and renovation of historic sites or buildings on those state parks would be the responsibility only of the State Historical Society. However, once that is completed, those sites and buildings are turned over for operations and maintenance to the people that do have the competence to manage our state parks and that is the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission. There is no confusion in the Mattes report. He presents options. That is true but the bill is very specific in selecting one of those options.

SENATOR SAVAGE PRESIDING

SENATOR SAVAGE: You have two minutes left, Senator.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Here I am trying to do what obviously needs to be done and what cannot be done under state law today, that is to bring some order between these two agencies. Now recognizing that Senator Syas was alert on this point and raises what I think is probably a valid point, this is not the place in an appropriations bill for such action. And recognizing that I have a motion pending to reconsider 835, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw this amendment.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Unanimous consent has been requested to withdraw the amendment. Do I see any objections? Hearing none, the amendment has been withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment is offered by Senator Marvel. Read amendment. See page 785, Journal.

SENATOR SAVAGE: The Chair recognizes Senator Marvel.

SENATOR MARVEL: Did somebody call me?

SENATOR SAVAGE: Yes, it is your amendment, Senator Marvel.